

CIL scoring matrix for internal use by Councillors

Name of organisation	
Purpose of CIL funding	
Amount requested	
Total amount of project cost	
Organisation's reserves (from accounts)	

	Score
Benefits of the project - Level of community benefit of the project	
None	0
Limited (local benefit)	3
Moderate (more than local benefit OR disadvantaged group)	6
High (most of the community OR a significantly disadvantaged group)	9
Public support - Level of public support for the project	
None	0
Limited (for example one of: Member of HTC, Community Group, demonstration of wider community, other – please specify)	3
Moderate (two of above)	6
High (3 or more of the above criteria)	9
Identified strategic need Supports an identified priority, possibly with a partner authority	
None	0
Limited (for example one of: HTC Neighbourhood Plan/Strategic Plan/Climate Emergency motion, WBC Infrastructure delivery plan, SCC equivalent, other – please specify)	2
Moderate (two of above)	4
High (3 or more of the above criteria)	6
Project planning & deliverability	
Details of the project have been specified/explored/agreed (to include timescale, specifications of project plan, deliverability of project etc)	
None	0
Limited (at a preliminary stage)	2
Moderate (plans at draft stage)	4
High (all details specified and agreed)	6
Funding - Is there match funding in place (alternative sources of funding)	
None	0
Limited (from one other)	2
Moderate (from one other and organisation applying)	4
High (from two other and organisation applying)	6
Any other criteria not listed above	
Please specify:	
Total score:	/37

SUGGESTION –

Score 0-12 application refused? score 13-20 feedback given and applicants invited to give more information? score 21 and above application approved and level of funding considered?